

Public Service Company of New Hampshire
Docket No. DE 11-250

Data Request TC-05

Dated: 09/27/2012 ORIGINAL

Q-TC-010

Page 1 of 1 U.P.C. Case No. DE 11-250

Exhibit No. #58

Witness Frank T. DiPalma

Charles Dalton

DO NOT REMOVE FROM FILE

Witness: William H. Smagula, Donna M. Gamache
Request from: TransCanada

Question:

Did the Sargent and Lundy report include an estimate of PSNH retained work? If not, please explain why. Was the fact that this was missing from the Sargent and Lundy estimate ever communicated to any state official? If so, please provide copies of related documentation.

Response:

No. Specifically, PSNH believed the Sargent and Lundy scope was all inclusive. At the time of the Sargent and Lundy effort, the work plan for the Clean Air Project was not fully refined to a detailed scope of work for the Merrimack site. The level of detail and identification of PSNH retained work had not been considered. Also, it should be noted that PSNH retained work became defined years later after URS and PSNH concluded that it would utilize a National Maintenance Agreement. This also helped PSNH determine its retained scope since we could either use union or non-union labor personnel on retained work.